UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/835,088	04/13/2001	Gary Reding	20697-301	2977
7590 05/29/2007 GLEN BROOKS LOWENSTEIN SANDLER PC 65 LIVINGSTON AVENUE ROSELAND, NJ 07068-1791			EXAMINER	
			CUFF, MICHAEL A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			3627	
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/835,088	REDING ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Michael Cuff	3627		
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address		
	, •	/ IS SET TO EVOIDE 2 M/	ONTU(S) OR THIRTY (20) DAYS		
WHICH - Extens after S - If NO p - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ABA	CATION. pply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 Ma</u>	ay 2007.			
,	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
· ·	Since this application is in condition for allowar				
C	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Dispositio	n of Claims				
4) × (Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 25-38</u> is/are pending in the ap	oplication.			
4	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.			
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
	Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 25-38</u> is/are rejected.				
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r ataction requirement			
ا تــاره	are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.			
Applicatio	n Papers				
. —	he specification is objected to by the Examine				
	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting the correction is objected to by the Ex				
,	, ,	arrillor. Note the attached			
•	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).		
•	All b) Some * c) None of:	- barra barra va sabrad			
	Certified copies of the priority documents		nalication No.		
	Certified copies of the priority documentsCopies of the certified copies of the prior	·			
	application from the International Bureau	·	100clvcd iii tiiis ivalional olage		
* Se	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	•	received.		
		·			
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)		
3) Informa	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date)/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application 		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 25-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by www.usafutures.com.

www.usafutures.com, dated 1/25/99 as shown by archive.org, shows an online brokerage firm. (see online trading in directory, means for generating a contract between buyers and sellers or intermediaries and producers). The "hedging — soybeans example", found in the directory, explains the use of the futures market in the agricultural market. A futures contract includes the type of commodity, the quantity and a price, which is equal to the local cash bid or flat price and a basis price. (this is in the memory) The online brokerage (having first computing sub-system) receieves electronic request from clients. (some clients are intermediaries) www.usafutures.com has communications with the commodities exchange. (second sub-system) The system has a web page and each account holder or client would have access specific to their own account. (third sub-system) From the example, it is clear that a producer of an agricultural commodity would be a client and therefore could be received at the website (means for transmitting the web page). The determination or calculation of a flat price (means for calculating flat price) is an inherent feature of agricultural price

Art Unit: 3627

structure. A broker would be well aware of this old and well-known simple mathematical relation. The hedging process (fourth sub-system) described is where the broker (acting on behalf of a client) obtains future contracts in order to reduce price risk when a client is prepared to trade in the actual commodity. Online brokers have real time commodity pricing (means for receiving price information for anyone), which is on their websites, show in graphs and tables (correlating).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 and 35-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Friend et al. in view of usafutures.com.

Friend et al. shows all of the limitations of the claims except for specifying the details of automatically hedging an agricultural transaction, including accessing data from the commodity exchange and obtaining a futures contract.

Friend et al. shows a method and apparatus for Internet connectivity for agriculture buyers (elevator operators), sellers (farmers) and transporters (anyone else involved with the agricultural farmer to market process). Figure 9 shows a web site portion customized for a seller (farmer) including specifics on name, quantity, location, delivery, and other terms and conditions. Figure 10 shows a web site portion

Application/Control Number: 09/835,088

Art Unit: 3627

customized for a buyer (elevator operator is a buyer in the agricultural process, see applicant's specification) including specifics on name, quantity, location, delivery, and other terms and conditions. These web site portions are transmitted and received. Figure 4 shows Industry links 66 to the web server. The description of these links in paragraph [0053] shows that the third party content can be hosted by the web server.

usafutures.com teaches an online brokerage firm. (see online trading in directory, means for generating a contract between buyers and sellers or intermediaries and producers). The "hedging - soybeans example", found in the directory, explains the use of the futures market in the agricultural market. A futures contract includes the type of commodity, the quantity and a price, which is equal to the local cash bid or flat price and a basis price. (this is in the memory) The online brokerage (having first computing sub-system) receieves electronic request from clients. (some clients are intermediaries) www.usafutures.com has communications with the commodities exchange. (second sub-system) The system has a web page and each account holder or client would have access specific to their own account. (third sub-system) From the example, it is clear that a producer of an agricultural commodity would be a client and therefore could be received at the website (means for transmitting the web page). The determination or calculation of a flat price (means for calculating flat price) is an inherent feature of agricultural price structure. A broker would be well aware of this old and wellknown simple mathematical relation. The hedging process (fourth sub-system) described is where the broker (acting on behalf of a client) obtains future contracts in order to reduce price risk when a client is prepared to trade in the actual commodity.

Art Unit: 3627

Online brokers have real time commodity pricing (means for receiving price information for anyone), which is on their websites, show in graphs and tables (correlating).

Based on the teaching of www.usafutures.com, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify the Fiend et al. system to incorporate www.usafutures.com as an industry link in order to facilitate the hedging process in an agricultural transaction. (The soybean example is explicit motivation that this is what the www.usafutures.com was intend to do.)

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 5/9/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant asserts that claim 25 is allowable for the same reasons as claim 1.

The examiner does not concur. Claims 25-34 does not have the same limitations as claim 1, specifically limitations on a web page. The usafutures.com reference still reads on these broad claims.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 and 35-38 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/835,088 Page 6

Art Unit: 3627

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Cuff whose telephone number is (571) 272-6778. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ryan Zeender can be reached on (571) 272-6790. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Michael Cuff May 14, 2007

MICHAEL CUFF PRIMARY EXAMINER